

Count V—Violated Section 501.404(c)(4)(A) of the Board’s regulations (35 Ill. Adm. Code 501.404(c)(4)(A)) and Section 12(a) of the Act by failing to ensure that its holding pond had adequate storage capacity so as not to cause water pollution;

Count VI—Violated Section 501.405(a) of the Board’s regulations (35 Ill. Adm. Code 501.405(a)) and Section 12(a) of the Act by field-applying livestock waste to land saturated by precipitation and within 200 feet of a surface water; and

Count VII—Violated conditions of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, Section 12(f) of the Act (415 ILCS 5/12(f) (2014)), and Section 309.102(a) of the Board’s regulations (35 Ill. Adm. Code 309.102(a)) by discharging livestock waste to waters of the State and applying livestock waste to land in a manner that caused discharges of waste to waters of the State.

The People ask the Board to order Greenville Livestock to (1) cease and desist from any further violations of the Act, Board regulations, and permit conditions; (2) pay civil penalties not to exceed \$50,000 per violation described in counts I through VI and not to exceed \$10,000 for each day during which each violation continues; (3) pay civil penalties not to exceed \$10,000 for each day of each violation described in count VII; and (4) award the People their costs and reasonable attorney’s fees.

The Board finds that the complaint meets the content requirements of the Board’s procedural rules and accepts the complaint for hearing. *See* 35 Ill. Adm. Code 103.204(c), (f), 103.212(c). A respondent’s failure to file an answer to a complaint within 60 days after receiving the complaint may have severe consequences. Generally, if Greenville Livestock fails within that timeframe to file an answer specifically denying, or asserting insufficient knowledge to form a belief of, a material allegation in the complaint, the Board will consider Greenville Livestock to have admitted the allegation. *See* 35 Ill. Adm. Code 103.204(d).

The Board directs the hearing officer to proceed expeditiously to hearing. Upon its own motion or the motion of any party, the Board or the hearing officer may order that the hearing be held by videoconference. In deciding whether to hold the hearing by videoconference, factors that the Board or the hearing officer will consider include cost-effectiveness, efficiency, facility accommodations, witness availability, public interest, the parties’ preferences, and the proceeding’s complexity and contentiousness. *See* 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.600(b), 103.108.

Among the hearing officer’s responsibilities is the “duty . . . to ensure development of a clear, complete, and concise record for timely transmission to the Board.” 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.610. A complete record in an enforcement case thoroughly addresses, among other things, the appropriate remedy, if any, for the alleged violations, including any civil penalty.

If a complainant proves an alleged violation, the Board considers the factors set forth in Sections 33(c) and 42(h) of the Act to fashion an appropriate remedy for the violation. *See* 415 ILCS 5/33(c), 42(h) (2014). Specifically, the Board considers the Section 33(c) factors in determining, first, what to order the respondent to do to correct an on-going violation, if any, and, second, whether to order the respondent to pay a civil penalty. The factors provided in

Section 33(c) bear on the reasonableness of the circumstances surrounding the violation, such as the character and degree of any resulting interference with protecting public health, the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of compliance, and whether the respondent has subsequently eliminated the violation.

If, after considering the Section 33(c) factors, the Board decides to impose a civil penalty on the respondent, only then does the Board consider the Act's Section 42(h) factors in determining the appropriate amount of the civil penalty. Section 42(h) sets forth factors that may mitigate or aggravate the civil penalty amount. These factors include the following: the duration and gravity of the violation; whether the respondent showed due diligence in attempting to comply; any economic benefits that the respondent accrued from delaying compliance based upon the "lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance"; the need to deter further violations by the respondent and others similarly situated; and whether the respondent "voluntarily self-disclosed" the violation. 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2014). Section 42(h) requires the Board to ensure that the penalty is "at least as great as the economic benefits, if any, accrued by the respondent as a result of the violation, unless the Board finds that imposition of such penalty would result in an arbitrary or unreasonable financial hardship." *Id.* Such penalty, however, "may be off-set in whole or in part pursuant to a supplemental environmental project agreed to by the complainant and the respondent." *Id.*

Accordingly, the Board further directs the hearing officer to advise the parties that in summary judgment motions and responses, at hearing, and in briefs, each party should consider: (1) proposing a remedy for a violation, if any (including whether to impose a civil penalty), and supporting its position with facts and arguments that address any or all of the Section 33(c) factors; and (2) proposing a civil penalty, if any (including a specific total dollar amount and the portion of that amount attributable to the respondent's economic benefit, if any, from delayed compliance), and supporting its position with facts and arguments that address any or all of the Section 42(h) factors. The Board also directs the hearing officer to advise the parties to address these issues in any stipulation and proposed settlement that may be filed with the Board.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Don A. Brown, Assistant Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, certify that the Board adopted the above order on March 23, 2017, by a vote of 5-0.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Don A. Brown". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Don A. Brown, Assistant Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board